IN THE HIGH COURT OF AUSTRALIA PERTH OFFICE OF THE REGISTRY

NO. P71 of 2004

ON APPEAL FROM THE FEDERAL COURT OF AUSTRALIA. **WESTERN AUSTRALIA DISTRICT** 

BETWEEN:

**OLBERS COLTD** 

Applicant

and

THE COMMONWEALTH OF AUSTRALIA

First Respondent

and

**AUSTRALIAN FISHERIES** MANAGEMENT AUTHORITY Second Respondent

## DRAFT NOTICE OF APPEAL

1. The applicant appeals pursuant to special leave granted on [date] from the whole of the judgment of the full Federal Court of Australia. Western Australia District, given on 16 September 2004.

## Grounds

- 2. The applicant appeals on the following grounds:
  - (a) The Full Federal Court wrongly interpreted the Fisheries Management Act 1991 ("the Act") In finding that s106A of the Act gave the First Respondent or its agents an absolute right to seize a vessel on the High Seas without complying with s84 and s87 of the Act.
  - (b) In interpreting the Act, the Full Federal Court failed to have any or any proper regard to the provisions of the Act as a whole. The Full Federal Court failed to have regard to the requirements to interpret legislation in a manner which is consistent with Australia's international obligations and not to find extra-territorial effect in the legislation unless that was expressly provided for.
  - (c) The Full Federal Court was wrong to find that the selzure of the Volga was lawful, where the First Respondent and/or its agents seized the vessel on the High Seas without complying with s87 and In a manner which was contrary to the common law.

JACKSON McDONALD 140 St Georges Terrace PERTH WA 6000

Telephone: (08) 9426 6611 Fax: (08) 9324 2632 Solicitors for the Applicant Reference: RLM:MS:1166377 Doc#491496

2

- (d) The Full Federal Court was wrong, in any event, to find that s106A effected automatic forfelture from the time of an offence without completion of the process under ss106B 106G.
- (e) The Federal Court wrongly interpreted the provisions of the Act, in particular s84, 87 and 108A-106G, so as to prevent any remedy being available for the wrongful seizure of the vessel on the High Seas, whether the remedy is under the Act, on its proper construction, or, there is a remedy for breach of statutory duty or otherwise at common law.

## Order Sought

- The applicant seeks:
  - (a) An order setting aside the decision of the Full Federal Court dated 16 September 2004.
  - (b) A declaration that the Respondents' selzure and detention of the Volga, its catch and equipment was unlawful.
  - (c) An order that the Respondents pay damages to the Applicant.
  - (d) An order that the proceeding be remitted to the trial Judge in the Federal Court for a hearing on the assessment of damages.
  - (e) An order for costs in favour of the applicant.

Dated 10 November 2004

Solicitor for the applicant

Jawson Mismald

To: The Respondents and their solicitors, the Australian Government Solicitor, Level 19, Exchange Plaza 2, The Esplanade, Perth, Western Australia 6000.

**TAKE NOTICE:** Before taking any step in the proceedings you must, within **14 DAYS** after service of this application, enter an appearance in the office of the Registry in which the application is filed, and serve a copy on the applicant.

The Applicant's Solicitor is Jackson McDonald, Level 25, 140 St Georges Terrace, Perth, Western Australia 6000.